



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,775	09/30/2003	Robert Gill	2548/1A	6261
7:	0 01/25/2005 EXAMINER		INER	
Adams Evans P.A.			SMITH, CREIGHTON H	
2180 Two Wac	hovia Center			
Charlotte, NC 28282			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2645	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Commence		10/675,775	GILL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Creighton h Smith	2645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌 💲	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is			
(closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	on of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>L13</u> s/are pending in the applic	ation				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) 🗀 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)					
<u>΄</u> ΄	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) A Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Tra-	demark Office					

U.S. Patent and Tragemain One PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/675,775

Art Unit: 2645

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No.
6198814. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably
distinct from each other because the claims of the patent disclose the predictive dialer,
computer, a database of prospective records and calling results, a controller connected
to multiple phone lines, a plurality of operator telephones, which are the same
elements claimed in the application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Creighton h

Smith at telephone number 308-2488.

12.01.05

Creighton h Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2645